UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,860	07/16/2003	Andrew R. Weisenberger	062373	1759
38834 7590 06/07/2010 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			FRANK, RODNEY T	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/621,860		WEISENBERGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RODNEY T. FRANK	2856	

	RODNEY T. FRANK	2856
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
The reply filed <u>02 April 2010</u> is acknowledged.		
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeals and Interferences, will not be entered became. 		al decision by the Board of Patent
 a. The amendment is not limited to canceling any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting. 	pendent claims into indepe	ndent form (no limitation of a
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timel See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).	ly filed before the filing of a	ın appeal brief.
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed wi 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate).		
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respincludes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41 response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	l.39(a)(2)); (b) a suppleme Appeals and Interferences	ntal examiner's answer written in for further consideration of rejection
3. ☑ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status o	of the claims after entry is b	pelow or attached.
4. ⊠ Other: <u>Claims 1, 5-11, 13-18, 27-30, 35, and 36 are</u>		
being unpatentable over Rynhart et al., in view of Skidmo	ore et al. The rejection wa	s addressed in the Examiner's Answer
<u>dated 03 February 2010.</u>		
/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856		